	Case 1:23-cv-01763-KES-EPG Documen	nt 10 Filed 09/30/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JANICE LACY,	No. 1:23-cv-01763-KES-EPG
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS THIS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
13	v.	
14	TURNER,	
15	Defendant.	Docs. 3, 9.
16		
17	Plaintiff Janice Lacy proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil action. The	
18	matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and	
19	Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 22, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and recommendations,	
21	recommending that this case be dismissed, without prejudice, (1) under the <i>Younger</i> abstention	
22	and <i>Rooker-Feldman</i> doctrines; (2) for failure to comply with a court order and failure to	
23	prosecute; and (3) for failure to provide a current address. Doc. 9. The magistrate judge further	
24	recommended that plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 3) be denied. <i>Id.</i>	
25	The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
26	objections were to be filed within thirty (30) days after service. <i>Id.</i> at 7–8. Plaintiff was advised	
27	that "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on	
28	appeal." <i>Id.</i> at 8 (citing <i>Wilkerson v. Wheeler</i> , 772 F.3d 834, 838–39 (9th Cir. 2014)). Plaintiff	
		•

## Case 1:23-cv-01763-KES-EPG Document 10 Filed 09/30/24 Page 2 of 2 did not file any objections, and the deadline to do so has expired. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations entered on April 22, 2024 (Doc. 9), are ADOPTED IN FULL; 2. Plaintiff's case is DISMISSED without prejudice (1) under the *Younger* abstention and Rooker-Feldman doctrines; (2) for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute; and (3) for failure to provide a current address; 3. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 3) is DENIED; and 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 30, 2024